FILED
ALAMEDA COUNTY
NOV 09 2026
CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
By _____ Deputy

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA

KEVIN M. REIKES and TINA L. REIKES, Plaintiffs, vs. **JOHNSON & JOHNSON., et al.,** Defendants.	Case No. 25CV157993 **SPECIAL VERDICT FORM**

We, the jury in the above-entitled action, answer the questions submitted to us as follows:

SECTION A

NEGLIGENCE

QUESTION 1: Was Johnson & Johnson negligent?

YES X NO _____

If you answered YES to Question 1, then answer Question 2. If you answered NO to Question 1, then skip Question 2 and proceed to Section B.

QUESTION 2: Was Johnson & Johnson's negligence a substantial factor in causing harm to Kevin Reikes?

YES X NO _____

Proceed to Section B.

SECTION B

STRICT LIABILITY – CONSUMER EXPECTATION

QUESTION 3: Was Johnson's Baby Powder defective because it failed to perform as safely as an ordinary consumer would have expected it to perform when used or misused in an intended or reasonably foreseeable way?

YES X NO _____

If you answered YES to Question 3, then answer Question 4. If you answered NO to Question 3, then skip Question 4 and proceed to Section C.

QUESTION 4: Was the failure of Johnson's Baby Powder to perform safely a substantial factor in causing harm to Kevin Reikes?

YES X NO _____

Proceed to Section C.

SECTION C

STRICT LIABILITY – FAILURE TO WARN

QUESTION 5: Did Johnson's Baby Powder have potential risks that were known or knowable in light of the scientific and medical knowledge that was generally accepted in the scientific community at the time of sale?

YES X NO _____

If you answered YES to Question 5, then answer Question 6. If you answered NO to Question 5, then skip Questions 6-9 and Section D and proceed to Section E.

QUESTION 6: Did the potential risks present a substantial danger to persons using or misusing Johnson's Baby Powder in an intended or reasonably foreseeable way?

YES X NO _____

If you answered YES to Question 6, then answer Question 7. If you answered NO to Question 6, then skip Questions 7-9 and Section D and proceed to Section E.

QUESTION 7: Would ordinary consumers have failed to recognize the potential risks?

YES X NO _____

If you answered YES to Question 7, then answer Question 8. If you answered NO to Question 7, then skip Questions 8-9 and Section D and proceed to Section E.

QUESTION 8: Did Johnson & Johnson fail to adequately warn of the potential risks?

YES X NO _____

If you answered YES to Question 8, then answer Question 9. If you answered NO to Question 8, then skip Question 9 and Section D and proceed to Section E.

QUESTION 9: Was the lack of sufficient warnings a substantial factor in causing harm to Kevin Reikes?

YES X NO _____

If you answered YES to Question 9, then proceed to Section D. If you answered NO to Question 9, then skip Section D and proceed to Section E.

SECTION D

NEGLIGENCE – FAILURE TO WARN

QUESTION 10: Did Johnson & Johnson know or should Johnson & Johnson reasonably have known that Johnson's Baby Powder was dangerous or likely to be dangerous when used or misused in a reasonably foreseeable manner?

YES X NO _____

If you answered YES to Question 10, then answer Question 11. If you answered NO to Question 10, then skip Questions 11-14 and proceed to Section E.

QUESTION 11: Did Johnson & Johnson know or should Johnson & Johnson reasonably have known that users would not realize the danger?

YES X NO _____

If you answered YES to Question 11, then answer Question 12. If you answered NO to Question 11, then skip Questions 12-14 and proceed to Section E.

QUESTION 12: Did Johnson & Johnson fail to adequately warn of the danger of Johnson's Baby Powder?

YES X NO _____

If you answered YES to Question 12, then answer Question 13. If you answered NO to Question 12, then skip Questions 13-14 and proceed to Section E.

QUESTION 13: Would a reasonable manufacturer under the same or similar circumstances have warned of the danger?

YES _____ NO X

If you answered YES to Question 13, then answer Question 14. If you answered NO to Question 13, then skip Question 14 and proceed to Section E.

QUESTION 14: Was Johnson & Johnson's failure to warn a substantial factor in causing harm to Kevin Reikes?

YES _____ NO _____

Proceed to Section E.

SECTION E

COMPENSATORY DAMAGES

*If you answered YES to Questions 2, 4, 9, **or** 14, then answer Questions 15 and 16 below. If you either did not answer or answered NO to Questions 2, 4, 9, **and** 14, then stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date the form.*

QUESTION 15: As a result of Kevin Reikes' mesothelioma, what are his damages?

a. **Past Medical Expenses:** $ 1,003,233.72

b. **Future Medical Expenses:** $ 2,000,000

c. **Lost Income:** $ 10,000,000

d. **Household Services:** $ 210,000

TOTAL: $ 13,213,233.72

e. **Past noneconomic loss, including physical pain, mental suffering, loss of enjoyment of life, physical impairment, inconvenience, grief, anxiety, humiliation, disfigurement, and emotional distress:** $ 7,000,000

f. **Future noneconomic loss, including physical pain, mental suffering, loss of enjoyment of life, physical impairment, inconvenience, grief, anxiety, humiliation, disfigurement, and emotional distress:** $ 9,000,000

TOTAL: $ 16,000,000

Answer Question 16.

QUESTION 16: As a result of Kevin Reikes' mesothelioma, what are Tina Reikes' damages for the loss of her husband's love, companionship, comfort, care, assistance, protection, affection, society, moral support, and enjoyment of sexual relations?

$ 5,000,000

Proceed to Section F.

SECTION F

APPORTIONMENT OF FAULT

QUESTION 17: Were the below companies negligent or at fault?

Dr. Scholl's	YES X	NO _____
Gold Bond	YES _____	NO X

If you answered YES for any company in Question 17, then answer Question 18 as to those companies. If you answered NO for all companies in Question 17, then skip Questions 18-19 and proceed to Section G.

QUESTION 18: Was the negligence or fault of the below companies a substantial factor in causing harm to Kevin Reikes?

Dr. Scholl's	YES X	NO _____
Gold Bond	YES _____	NO _____

If you answered YES for any company in Question 18, then answer Question 19 as to those companies. If you answered NO for all companies in Question 18, then skip Question 19 and proceed to Section G.

QUESTION 19: What percentage of responsibility for Kevin Reikes' harm do you assign to the following? Insert a percentage for only those companies that received a "yes" answer for Questions 2, 4, 9, or 14 or Question 18. The total must add up to 100%.

Johnson & Johnson	40%
Dr. Scholl's	60%
Gold Bond	0 %
TOTAL	**100%**

Proceed to Section G.

SECTION G

PUNITIVE DAMAGES

QUESTION 20: Did Plaintiffs prove by clear and convincing evidence that Johnson & Johnson engaged in the conduct with malice, oppression, or fraud?

YES _____ NO X

If you answered YES in Question 20, then answer Question 21. If you answered NO in Question 20, then stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date the verdict form.

QUESTION 21: Was the conduct constituting malice, oppression, or fraud committed by one or more officers, directors, or managing agents of the Johnson & Johnson acting on behalf of the Johnson & Johnson?

YES _____ NO _____

If you answered YES in Question 21, then answer Question 22. If you answered NO in Question 21, then stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date the verdict form.

QUESTION 22: What amount of punitive damages, if any, do you award Kevin Reikes?

$_____

Please have the presiding juror sign and date this form.

DATED: 11/9/2026 _____
PRESIDING JUROR

After this verdict form has been signed, notify the clerk that you are ready to present your verdict in the courtroom.